TITLE OF THE INVENTION

TRAFFIC VIOLATION DETECTION AT AN INTERSECTION EMPLOYING A VIRTUAL VIOLATION LINE

5 CROSS REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

This application is a continuation application of U.S. Patent Application No. 09/444,156, filed November 22, 1999 which claims priority of U.S. Provisional Application No. 60/109,731, filed November 23, 1998.

10

20

25

30

STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT
N/A

15 BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

The disclosed system relates generally to automated traffic violation enforcement, and more specifically to a system for detecting and filtering non-violation events in order to more effectively allocate resources within a traffic violation detection and recording system.

An automated traffic light violation detection and recording system may include and manage many resources which operate in cooperation to detect and/or record one or more traffic light violations. Such resources could include one or more cameras, memory for storing files of information or data related to detected violations, software processes for controlling hardware components used to record and/or otherwise process a violation, and others.

In particular, if large files of information are to be stored in association with each recorded violation event, these files may need to be communicated to an office remote from the intersection, where such files must be reviewed by

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. NEST-010XX WEINGARTEN, SCHURGIN, GAGMEBIN & HAYES, LLP TEL. (617) 542-2290 FAX. (617) 451-0313

Express Mail No.

an officer to determine whether the recorded activities are, in fact, a citationable action.

During operation, however, an automated traffic light recording system may detection and violation allocate resources to record events that are non-violation In such an event, some or all of the above events. discussed resources may be made unavailable to record or reducing the actual violation events, thus predict effectiveness of the system.

For the above reasons it would be desirable to have a non-violation event filtering system which reduces of resources within a traffic light violation detection and recording system that are allocated to record The system should be and/or report non-violation actions. flexibly configurable with respect to the definition of nonviolation events, and accordingly be adaptable to a variety Further, the system intersections and jurisdictions. should enable resources that are not used to record or report non-violation events to be used to record other potential violators, thus improving the odds that a more actual violations will be recorded and reported.

BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

A system and method for detecting and filtering non-violation events in a traffic light violation prediction and recording system is disclosed, including at least one violation prediction image capturing device, such as a video camera, and a violation prediction unit. In an illustrative embodiment, the violation prediction unit is a software thread which operates in response to at least one violation

5

10

15

prediction image derived from the output of the image capturing device, and a current light phase of a traffic The violation prediction image may, for example, be one of multiple digitized video images showing a vehicle intersection controlled by the an approaching prediction unit generates prediction signal. The reflecting a probability that the vehicle will violate a red light phase of the traffic signal.

A non-violation event filter determines whether the traffic signal is actually vehicle approaching the performing a non-violation action. Non-violation events may include a variety of actions performed by the vehicle, and are fully configurable to meet the needs and policies of specific intersections jurisdictions. and example, non-violation events may include permitted right turns during a red light phase, not passing over a virtual violation line while the traffic signal is red, through the intersection within a predetermined time period after the traffic signal turns red, and creeping forward into the intersection while the signal is red.

When the non-violation event filter determines that the non-violation vehicle is performing a action, it deallocate some number of resources that may have been allocated to recording the vehicle, and/or prevents further resources from being allocated to such recording. resources may, for example, include an image file to store the violation images, or one or more violation prediction capturing devices. Such resources may then be allocated to recording other vehicles which are potentially going to violate a red light phase of the traffic signal. Additionally, the disclosed system can be used to prevent

5

10

15

20

25

the forwarding of image data relating to a non-violation event to a remote server for further processing, thus conserving resources in that regard as well.

Accordingly there is disclosed a non-violation event system which reduces the amount of resources filtering within a traffic light violation detection and recording system that are allocated to recording non-violation actions. The disclosed system is flexibly configurable with respect to the definition of non-violation events, and thus can be adapted to a variety of intersections jurisdictions. Further, the disclosed system resources that are not used to record or report nonviolation events to be used to record other potential improving the odds violators, thus that more violations will be recorded and reported.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWINGS

The invention will be more fully understood by reference to the following detailed description of the invention in conjunction with the drawings, of which:

Fig. 1 shows an intersection of two roads at which an embodiment of the disclosed roadside station has been deployed;

Fig. 2 is a block diagram showing operation of components in an illustrative embodiment of the disclosed roadside station;

Fig. 3 is a flow chart showing steps performed during operation of an illustrative embodiment of the disclosed roadside station;

5

10

15

- Fig. 4 is a flow chart further illustrating steps performed during operation of an illustrative embodiment of the disclosed roadside unit;
- Fig. 5 is a block diagram showing hardware components in an illustrative embodiment of the disclosed roadside unit and a field office;
 - Fig. 6 is a flow chart showing steps performed during operation of an illustrative embodiment of the disclosed prediction unit;
- 10 Fig. 7 is a flow chart showing steps performed during setup of an illustrative embodiment of the disclosed prediction unit;
- Fig. 8 is a flow chart showing steps performed by an illustrative embodiment of the disclosed prediction unit to initialize variables upon receipt of target vehicle information associated with a new video frame;
 - Fig. 9 is a flow chart showing steps performed by an illustrative embodiment of the disclosed prediction unit to predict whether a vehicle will violate a red light;
- 20 Fig. 10 is a flow chart showing steps performed by an illustrative embodiment of the disclosed prediction unit to process target vehicle information associated with a video frame;
- Fig. 11 is a flow chart showing steps performed by an illustrative embodiment of the disclosed prediction unit to predict whether a target vehicle will violate a current red light;
 - Fig. 12 is a flow chart showing steps performed by an illustrative embodiment of the disclosed prediction unit during a current yellow light to predict whether a target vehicle will violate an upcoming red light;

Fig. 13 is a flow chart showing steps performed by an illustrative embodiment of the disclosed prediction unit to update a violation prediction history of a target vehicle;

Fig. 14 is a flow chart showing steps performed by an illustrative embodiment of the disclosed prediction unit to update a prediction state associated with a target vehicle;

Fig. 15 is a flow chart showing steps performed by an illustrative embodiment of the disclosed prediction unit to compute a violation probability score for a target vehicle;

10 Fig. 16 is a flow chart showing steps performed by an illustrative embodiment of the disclosed prediction unit to determine if a target vehicle is making a right turn;

Fig. 17 is a flow chart showing steps performed by an illustrative embodiment of the disclosed violation unit to allocate resources for recording a predicted violation;

Fig. 18 is a flow chart showing steps performed by an illustrative embodiment of the disclosed violation unit to process a resource request received from an agent;

Fig. 19 is a flow chart showing steps performed by an illustrative embodiment of the disclosed violation unit to manage a resource returned by an agent;

Fig. 20 is a flow chart showing steps performed by an illustrative embodiment of the disclosed violation unit to process an abort message received from the prediction unit;

Fig. 21 is a flow chart showing steps performed by an illustrative embodiment of the disclosed violation unit to process a message received from the prediction unit;

Fig. 22 is a flow chart showing steps performed by an illustrative embodiment of the disclosed violation unit to process a "violation complete" message received from an agent;

5

15

20

25

- Fig. 23 is a flow chart showing steps performed by an illustrative embodiment of the disclosed violation unit to process a "violation delete" message received from the prediction unit;
- Fig. 24 is a flow chart showing steps performed by an illustrative embodiment of the disclosed violation unit to complete processing of a violation;
 - Fig. 25 is a flow chart showing steps performed by an illustrative embodiment of the disclosed violation unit to furnish light phase information to one or more agents;
 - Fig. 26 shows an illustrative embodiment of a recorder file format;
- Fig. 27 shows linked lists of target vehicle information as used by an illustrative embodiment of the disclosed prediction unit;
 - Fig. 28 shows an illustrative format for target vehicle information used by the prediction unit;
 - Fig. 29 shows an illustrative format for global data used by the prediction unit;
- Fig. 30 shows an illustrative resource schedule format generated by the violation unit;
 - Fig. 31 shows steps performed to generate a citation using the disclosed citation generation system;
- Fig. 32 shows an illustrative citation generation user interface for the disclosed citation generation system;
 - Fig. 33 shows a citation generated using an embodiment of the disclosed citation generation system; and
- Fig. 34 shows the disclosed system inter-operating with a vehicle database, court schedule database, and court house 30 display device.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

Consistent with the present invention, a system and method for predicting and recording red light violations is disclosed which enables law enforcement officers to generate complete citations from image data recorded using a number of image capturing devices controlled by a roadside unit or The disclosed system further enables convenient station. interoperation with a vehicle information database as provided by а Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV). Additionally, a court scheduling interface function may be used to select court dates. Violation images, images, and other violation related data may be provided for display using a display device within the court house.

As shown in Fig. 1, an embodiment of the disclosed an intersection of main street 10 and center system at street 12 includes a first prediction camera 16 for tracking vehicles travelling north on main street 10, prediction camera 18 for tracking vehicles travelling south on main street 10, a first violation camera 20, and a second violation camera 22. A north bound traffic signal 14 and a south bound traffic signal 15 are also shown in Fig. 1. A south bound vehicle 24 is shown travelling from a first position 24a to a second position 24b, and a north bound vehicle 26 is shown travelling from a first position 26a to a second position 26b.

During operation of the system shown in Fig. 1, a red light violation by a north bound vehicle travelling on main street may be predicted in response to image data captured from a video stream provided by the first prediction camera 16. In that event, the violation cameras 20 and 22, as

5

10

15

20

25

well as the prediction camera 16, may be controlled to captured certain views of the predicted violation, also referred to as the "violation event." For example, camera 20 may be used to capture a front view 47 ("front view") of a violating north bound vehicle, as well as a rear view 48 ("rear view") of that vehicle. violating vehicle travelling in lane 1 of main street 10, camera 20 may be controlled to capture a the violation front view F1 47a and a rear view R1 48a of the violating Similarly, for a predicted north bound violator vehicle. travelling in lane 2 of main street 10, the violation camera 20 may be controlled to capture a front view F2 47b, as well as a rear view R2 48b of the violating vehicle. capturing both a front view and a review view of a violating vehicle, the present system may increase the probability of recovering a license plate number. Capturing both a front and rear view may be employed to avoid potential problems of predicted violator occlusion by other vehicles.

Additionally, with regard to recording a predicted north bound violator on main street 10, the second violation camera 22 may be employed to provide a wide angle view 49, referred to as a "signal view", showing the violating vehicle before and after it crosses the stop line for its respective lane, together with the view of the traffic signal 14 as seen by the operator of the violating vehicle while crossing the stop line. With regard to predicted south bound violations on main street 10, the violation camera 22 may be employed to capture front views 46 and rear views 45 of such violating vehicles. camera 20 may be used to capture the first violation signal view with regard to such south bound violations.

10

15

20

25

during recording of a violation event, Also prediction camera located over the road in which the predicted violator is travelling may be used to capture "context view" of the violation. For example, during a north bound violation on main street 10, the prediction camera 16 may be directed to capture the overhead view provided by its vantage point over the monitored intersection while the violating vehicle crosses through the intersection. Such a context view may be relevant determining whether the recorded vehicle was justified in passing through a red light. For example, if a vehicle crosses through an intersection during a red light in order to avoid an emergency vehicle such as an ambulance, such an action would not be considered a citationable violation, and context information recorded in the context view would show the presence or absence of such exculpatory circumstances.

While the illustrative embodiment of Fig. 1 shows two violation cameras, the disclosed system may alternatively be embodied using one or more violation cameras for each monitored traffic direction. Each violation camera may be used for recording a different aspect of the intersection during a violation. Violation cameras should be placed and controlled so that specific views of the violation may be obtained without occlusion of the violating vehicle by geographic features, buildings, or other vehicles. Violation cameras may further be placed in any positions which permit capturing the light signal as seen by the violator when approaching the intersection, the front of the violating vehicle, the rear of the violating vehicle, the violating vehicle as it crosses the relevant stop line

5

10

15

20

25

and/or violation line (see below), and/or the overall traffic context in which the violation occurred.

Violation lines 28a, 28b, 32a and 32b are virtual, configurable, per-lane lines located beyond the actual stop lines for their respective lanes. Violation lines are used in the disclosed system to filter out recording and/or reporting of non-violation events, such as permitted right Accordingly, in the illustrative turns during a red light. embodiment of Fig. 1, the violation lines 28b and 32a, corresponding respectively to lanes 4 and 1 of main street 10 10, are angled such that they are not crossed by a vehicle which is turning right from main street 10 onto center Additionally, violation lines 28a and 32b are street 12. shown configured beyond the stop lines of their respective 15 lines, thus permitting the present system to distinguish between vehicles which merely cross over stop line by inconsequential amount, and those which cross well over the stop line and into the intersection itself during a red light phase. Violation lines are maintained in an internal representation of the intersection that is generated and 20 referenced, for example, by software processes executing in the disclosed roadside station.

28 and 32 violation lines are completely configurable responsive to configuration data provided by an installer, system manager or user. Accordingly, while the violation lines 28b and 32a are shown as being angled in Fig. 1, they may otherwise be positioned with respect to the stop lines, for example in parallel with the stop lines. Thus, the violation lines 28 and 32 are examples of general mechanism by which may be used to adjust for specific geographic properties of a particular intersection,

25

and to provide information that can be used to filter out certain non-violation events.

For purposes of illustration, the prediction cameras 16 and 18, as well as the violation cameras 20 and 22, are "pan-tilt-zoom" (PTZ) video cameras, for example conforming with the NTSC (National Television System Committee) or PAL (Phase Alternation Line) video camera standards. While the illustrative embodiment of Fig. 1 employs PTZ type cameras, some number or all of the violation cameras or prediction cameras may alternatively be fixed-position video cameras. For purposes of illustration, the prediction cameras 16 and 18 are shown mounted over the intersection above the traffic signals in Fig. 1, while the violation cameras 20 and 22 are mounted over the intersection by separate poles. prediction cameras 16 and 18 may, for example, be mounted at a height 30 feet above the road surface. Any specific mounting mechanism for the cameras may be selected depending on the specific characteristics and requirements of the intersection to be monitored.

20 illustrates operation of components in illustrative embodiment of the disclosed roadside station. As shown in Fig. 2, a prediction camera 50 provides video to a digitizer 51. The digitizer 51 outputs digitized video frames to a tracker 54. The tracker 54 processes the 25 digitized video frames to identify objects in the frames as vehicles, together with their current locations. The tracker 54 operates, for example, using a reference frame representing the intersection under current lighting conditions without any vehicles, a difference frame showing 30 differences between a recently received frame and a previous frame, and a current frame showing the current vehicle

10

locations. For each of the vehicles it identifies ("target vehicles"), the tracker 54 generates a target vehicle identifier, together with current position information.

Target vehicle identification and position information is passed from the tracker 54 to the prediction unit 56 on a The prediction unit 56 processes target by target basis. the target vehicle information from the tracker 54, further in response to a current light phase received from a signal phase circuit 52. The prediction unit 56 determines whether any of the target vehicles identified by the tracker 54 are 10 predicted violators. The prediction unit 56 may generate a message or messages for the violation unit 58 indicating the identity of one or more predicted violators together with associated violation prediction scores. The violation unit 15 receives the predicted violator identifiers violation prediction scores, and schedules associated resources used to record one or more relatively high The probability violation events. violation unit operates using a number of software agents 60 that control a 20 set of resources. Such resources include one or more violation cameras 66 which pass video streams to a digitizer 53, in order to obtain digitized video frames for storage within one or more recorder files 62. The recorder files 62 produced by recorders consisting of one digitizers such as the digitizer 53 and 25 one more associated software agents. The violation unit 58 further controls a communications interface 64, through recorder files and associated violation event information may be communicated to a field office server system.

Configuration data 68 may be wholly or partly input by a system administrator or user through the user interface

69. The contents of the configuration data 68 may determine various aspects of systems operation, and are accessible to system components including the tracker 54, prediction unit 56, and/or violation unit 58 during system operation.

In the illustrative embodiment of Fig. 2, the signal phase circuit 52 is part of, or interfaced to, a traffic associated with the traffic control box light at intersection being monitored. The prediction unit violation unit 58, and software agents 60, may be software threads, such as execute in connection with the Windows $\mathtt{NT}^{\mathtt{TM}}$ computer operating system provided by Microsoft Corporation on one of many commercially available computer processor including platforms а processor and memory. configuration data user interface 69 is, for example, a graphical user interface (GUI), which is used by a system administrator to provide the configuration data 68 to the system.

The recorder files 62 may, for example, consist of digitized video files, each of which include one or more video clips of multiple video frames. Each recorder file may also be associated with an indexer describing the start and end points of each video clip it contains. information associated with each clip may indicate which violation camera was used to capture the clip. violation unit 58 provides recorder file management and video clip sequencing within each recorder file for each violation. Accordingly, the video clips of each recorder file may be selected by the violation unit to provide an view or views of the violating vehicle surrounding context so that identification information, such

5

10

15

20

25

as a license plate number, will be available upon later review.

Operation of the components shown in Fig. 2 is now further described with reference to the flow chart of Fig. At step 70, the violation unit receives one or more 5 violation predictions from the prediction unit. violation unit selects one of the predicted violation events At step 71, the violation unit tells a for recording. violation capturing device, for example by use of 10 software agent, to capture a front view of the predicted At step 72 the violation capturing device is violator. focused on a view to be captured, and which is calculated to capture the front of the predicted violator. At step 73, the violation capturing device captures the front view that 15 it focused on in step 72, for a period of time also calculated to capture an image of the front of the violating vehicle as it passes.

At step 74 of Fig. 3, the violation unit tells the violation capturing device, for example by way of a software agent, to capture a rear view of the violating vehicle. As a result, at step 75, the violation capturing device focuses on another view, selected so as to capture a rear view of the violating vehicle. The violation capturing device then records the view on which it focused at step 75 for a specified time period at step 76 calculated to capture an image of the rear of the violating vehicle.

The steps shown in the flow chart of Fig. 4 further illustrate operation of the components shown in Fig. 2. The steps shown in Fig. 2 show how in an illustrative embodiment, the disclosed system captures a signal view beginning each time the traffic light for the traffic flow

20

25

being monitored enters a yellow light phase. If no violation is predicted for the ensuing red light phase, then the signal view recorded in the steps of Fig. 4 is discarded. Otherwise, the signal view recorded by the steps of Fig. 4 may be stored in a recorder file and associated with the predicted violation.

At step 77 of Fig. 4, an indication is received that a traffic signal for the monitored intersection has entered a Alternatively, where the light has no yellow vellow phase. phase, the indication received at step 77 may be that there is less than a specified minimum time remaining in a current green light. In response to such an indication, at step 78 the disclosed system controls a violation image capturing device to focus on a signal view, including a view of the traffic signal that has entered the yellow phase, as well as areas in the intersection before and after the stop line for traffic controlled by the traffic signal. At step 79, the violation image capturing device records a signal view video clip potentially showing a violator of a red light phase in positions before and after the stop line for that traffic signal, in combination with the traffic signal as would be seen by the operator of any such violating vehicle while the vehicle crossed the stop line.

Fig. 5 shows an illustrative embodiment of hardware components in a roadside station 80, which is placed in close proximity to an intersection being monitored. A field office 82 is used to receive and store violation information for review and processing. The roadside station 80 is shown including a processor 90, a memory 92, and a secondary storage device shown as a disk 94, all of which are communicably coupled to a local bus 96. The bus 96 may

10

15

include a high-performance bus such as the Peripheral Component Interconnect (PCI), and may further include a second bus such as an Industry Standard Architecture (ISA) bus.

Three video controller cards 100, 102 and 104 are shown 5 96. coupled to the bus Four video cameras 84 respective video streams to the input of the first video The video cameras 84, for example, controller card 100. include two prediction cameras and two violation cameras. The first video card 100 selectively outputs three streams 10 of video to the second video controller card 102, which in turn selectively passes a single video stream to the third video controller card 104. During operation, the three video controller cards digitize the video received from the 15 video cameras into video frames by performing MJPEG (Motion Joint Photographic Expert Group) video frame capture, other frame capture method. The captured video frames are then made available to software executing on the CPU 90, for by being stored in the memory 92. example, Software 20 executing on the processor 90 controls which video streams are passed between the three video controller cards, as well as which frames are stored in which recorder files within the memory 92 and/or storage disk 94. Accordingly, video card 100 is used to multiplex the four video streams at its inputs onto the three video data streams at 25 Similarly, the video card 102 is used to multiplex outputs. the three video streams at its inputs onto the one video stream at its outputs. In this way, one or more composite recorder files may be formed in the memory 92 using selected 30 digitized portions of the four video streams from the video cameras 84. Further during operation of the components shown in Fig. 3, the current phase of the traffic light 88 is accessible to software executing on the processor 90 by way of the I/O card 108, which is coupled to a traffic control box 86 associated with the traffic light 88. Software executing on the processor 90 may further send messages to the field office 82 using the Ethernet card 106 in combination with the DSL modem 110. Such messages may be received by the field office through the DSL modem 114, for subsequent processing by software executing on a server system 112, which includes computer hardware components such as a processor and memory.

Fig. 6 shows steps performed during operation of an illustrative embodiment of a prediction unit, such as the prediction unit 56 as shown in Fig. 2. At step 126, the prediction unit begins execution, for example, configuration data has been entered to the system by system administrator. Such configuration data may control aspects of the operation of the prediction unit relating to the layout of lane boundaries, stop lines, violation lines, and other geographic properties of the intersection, as well as to filters which are to be used to reduce the number of potential violation events that are recorded and/or reported to the field office. At step 128 the prediction unit activities performs setup related to the specific specified intersection being monitored as within configuration data. At step 130, the prediction unit determines whether there are video frames that have been captured from a video stream received from a prediction camera, processed by the tracker, and reported to the prediction unit. If all currently available frames have previously been processed in the prediction unit, then step

5

10

15

20

25

130 is followed by step 132, and the prediction unit ends execution. If more frames are available to be processed, then step 130 is followed by step 134, in which the prediction unit performs the steps shown in Fig. 8.

prediction unit processes each target reported by the tracker for а given video individually. Accordingly, at step 136, the prediction unit determines if there are more target vehicles to be analyzed within the current frame, and performs step 140 for each such target vehicle. In step 140, the prediction unit determines whether each target vehicle identified by the tracker within the frame is a predicted violator, as is further described with reference to Fig. 9. After all vehicles within the frame have been analyzed, end of frame processing is performed at step 138, described in connection with Fig. 10. Step 138 is followed by step 130, in which the prediction unit again checks if there is target vehicle information received from the tracker for a newly processed frame to analyze.

20 Fig. 7 shows steps performed by the prediction unit in order to set up the prediction unit as would be done at step 128 in Fig. 6. At step 152, the prediction unit receives configuration data 150. The remaining steps shown in Fig. 7 are performed in response to the configuration data 150. 25 step 154 the prediction unit computes coordinates, relative to an internal representation of the intersection being monitored, of intersections of one or more stop lines and boundaries. respective lane These line intersection coordinates may be used by the prediction unit to calculate 30 distances between target vehicles and the intersection stop Similarly, at step 156, the prediction unit computes lines.

5

10

coordinates of intersections between one or more violation lines and the respective lane boundaries for the intersection being monitored, so that it can calculate distances between target vehicles and the violation lines.

At step 158 of Fig. 7, the prediction unit records a user defined grace period from the configuration data 150. The grace period value defines a time period following a light initially turning red during which a vehicle passing through the light is not to be considered in violation. example, a specific intersection may be subject to a local jurisdiction policy of not enforcing red light violations in the case where a vehicle passes through the intersection within .3 seconds of the signal turning red. Because the grace period is configurable, another intersection could zero, thereby treating all vehicles employ a value of the red light after it turned red passing through violators.

At step 160 the prediction unit calculates a prediction range within which the prediction unit will attempt to predict violations. The prediction range is an area of a lane being monitored between the prediction camera and a point away from the prediction camera, in the programmable direction of traffic approaching the intersection. prediction range is predicated on the fact that prediction data based on vehicle behavior beyond a certain distance from the prediction camera is not reliable, at least in part because there may be sufficient time for the vehicle to respond to a red light before reaching the intersection. step 162, the set up of the prediction unit is complete, and the routine returns.

5

10

15

20

25

Fig. 8 shows steps performed by the prediction unit in response to receipt of indication from the tracker that a The tracker may new video frame is ready for processing. provide information regarding a number of identified target such as their a video frame, vehicles identified within positions. Within the steps shown in Fig. 8, the prediction unit initializes various variables used to process target The steps of vehicle information received from the tracker. Fig. 8 correspond to step 134 as shown in Fig. 6. steps of Fig. 8, the prediction unit processes each lane independently may be lane each since independently, Accordingly, at step controlled by its own traffic signal. 174 the prediction unit determines whether all lanes have If all lanes have been processed, been processed. initial processing is complete, and step 174 is followed by Otherwise, the remaining steps in Fig. 8 are step 176. repeated until all lanes have been processed.

At step 178, the prediction unit records the current light phase, in response to real time signal information 180, for example from the traffic control box 86 as shown in Fig. 5. At step 182, the prediction unit branches in response to the current light phase, going to step 184 if the light is red, step 186 if the light is yellow, and to step 188 if the light is green.

25 At step 184 the prediction unit records the time elapsed since the light turned red, for example in response to light timing information from a traffic control box. At step 186 the prediction unit records the time remaining in the current yellow light phase before the light turns red.

30 At step 188 the prediction unit resets a "stopped vehicle" flag associated with the current lane being processed. A

5

10

15

vehicle flag is maintained per-lane stopped by the prediction unit for each lane being monitored. The prediction unit sets the per-lane stopped vehicle flag for a lane when it determines that a target vehicle in the lane has stopped or will stop. This enables the prediction unit to avoid performing needless violation predictions on target vehicles behind a stopped vehicle.

At step 190 the prediction unit resets a closest vehicle distance associated with the current lane, which will be used to store the distance from the stop line of a vehicle in the current lane closest to the stop line. At step 192 the prediction unit resets a "vehicle seen" flag for each target vehicle in the current lane being processed, which will be used to store an indication of whether each vehicle was seen by the tracker during the current frame.

Fig. 9 illustrates steps performed by the prediction unit to predict whether a target vehicle is likely to commit a red light violation. The steps of Fig. 9 correspond to step 140 in Fig. 6, and are performed once for each target vehicle identified by the tracker within a current video The steps of Fig. 9 are responsive to target vehicle information 200, including target identifiers and current information, provided by the position tracker to prediction unit. At step 202, the prediction unit obtains the current light phase, for example as recorded at step 178 If the current light phase is green, then step in Fig. 8. 202 is followed by step 204. Otherwise, step 202 followed by step 206. At step 206, the prediction unit determines whether the target vehicle is within the range calculated at step 160 in Fig. 7. If so, step 206 is

5

10

15

20

25

followed by step 208. Otherwise, step 206 is followed by step 204.

At step 208 of Fig. 9, the prediction unit determines whether there is sufficient positional history regarding the target vehicle to accurately calculate speed For example, the amount of positional acceleration values. history required to accurately calculate a speed for a target vehicle may be expressed as a number of frames in which the target vehicle must have been seen since it was first identified by the tracker. For example, the disclosed system may, for example, only perform speed and acceleration calculations on target vehicles which have been identified a minimum of 3 frames since they were initially identified.

15 Ιf sufficient prediction history is available calculate speed and acceleration values for the target vehicle, step 208 is followed by step 210. Otherwise, step 208 is followed by step 204. At step 210, the prediction unit computes and stores updated velocity and acceleration 20 values for the target vehicle. Next, at step 212, the prediction unit computes and updates a distance remaining between the target vehicle and the stop line for the lane in which the target vehicle is travelling. At step 214, the prediction unit computes a remaining distance between the 25 position of the target vehicle in the current video frame and the violation line for the lane. At step 216, the prediction unit determines whether the current light phase, as recorded at step 178 in Fig. 8, is yellow or red. recorded light phase associated with the frame is yellow, a 30 yellow light prediction algorithm is performed at step 218. Otherwise, if the recorded light phase is red, a red light

5

prediction algorithm is performed at step 220. Both steps 218 and 220 are followed by step 204, in which the PredictTarget routine shown in Fig. 9 returns to the control flow shown in Fig. 6.

Fig. 10 shows steps performed by the prediction unit to 5 complete processing of a video frame, as would occur in step The steps of Fig. 10 are performed for each 138 of Fig. 6. lane being monitored. Accordingly, at step 230 of Fig. 10, the prediction unit determines whether all lanes being 10 monitored have been processed. If so, step 230 is followed Otherwise, step 230 is followed by step 232. by step 242. At step 232, the prediction unit determines whether there are more target vehicles to process within the current lane If so, step 232 is followed by step 234, being processed. 15 in which the prediction unit determines whether the next target vehicle to be processed has been reported by the tracker within the preceding three video frames. If a target vehicle has not been reported by the tracker as seen during last three video frames, then the prediction unit 20 determines that no further processing related to that target vehicle should be performed. A previously seen target vehicle may not be seen within three video frames because the tracker has merged that target vehicle with another target vehicle, or renamed the target vehicle, because the 25 target vehicle has made a permitted right turn, or for some In such a case, at step 236 the prediction other reason. unit deletes any information related to the target vehicle. Otherwise, step 234 returns to step 232 until all vehicles within the current lane have been checked to determine 30 whether they have been seen within the last three video After information related to all vehicles which frames.

have not been seen within the last three video frames has been deleted, step 232 is followed by step 238.

At steps 238 and 240, the prediction unit determines whether any vehicle in the current lane being processed was predicted to be a violator during processing of the current video frame. If so, and if there is another vehicle in the same lane between the predicted violator and the stop line, and the other vehicle was predicted to stop before the stop line during processing of the current video frame, then the prediction unit changes the violation prediction for the predicted violator to indicate that the previously predicted violator will stop.

After all lanes being monitored have been processed, as determined at step 230, the prediction unit performs a series of steps to send messages to the violation unit regarding new violation predictions made while processing target vehicle information associated with the current video The prediction unit sends messages regarding such frame. new violation predictions to the violation unit in order of highest to lowest associated violation score, and marks each predicted violator as "old" after a message regarding that vehicle has been sent to the violation unit. Accordingly, at step 242, the prediction unit determines whether there are more new violation predictions to be processed by steps 246 through 258. If not, then step 242 is followed by step 244, in which the PredictEndOfFrame routine returns to the main prediction unit flow as shown in at step 246, the prediction unit Fig. 6. Otherwise, identifies a target vehicle with a new violation prediction, and having the highest violation score of all predicted violators which have not yet been reported to the

10

15

20

25

violation unit. Then, at step 248, the prediction unit sends a message to the violation unit identifying the target vehicle identified at step 248, and including the target vehicle ID and associated violation score. At step 250, the the target prediction unit determines whether identified in the message sent to the violation unit at step 248 has traveled past the stop line of the lane in which it If not, then step 250 is followed by step is travelling. in which the violation prediction for the target 258, vehicle identified at step 246 is marked as old, indicating that the violation unit has been notified of the predicted violation. Otherwise, at step 252, the prediction unit sends a message to the violation unit indicating that the target vehicle identified at step 246 has passed the stop line of the lane in which it is travelling. Next, at step 254, the prediction unit determines whether the target identified at step 246 has traveled past the violation line of the lane in which it is travelling. If not, then the prediction unit marks the violation prediction for the target vehicle as old at step 258. Otherwise, at step 256, the prediction unit sends a confirmation message to the indicating that the predicted violation violation unit, associated with the target vehicle identified at step 246 Step 256 is followed by step 258. has been confirmed.

Fig. 11 shows steps performed by the prediction unit to predict whether a target vehicle will commit a red light violation while processing a video frame during a red light phase. The steps of Fig. 11 are performed in response to inputs 268 for the target vehicle being processed, including position information from the tracker, as well as speed, acceleration (or deceleration), distance to stop and

5

10

15

20

25

time into red light violation lines, and phase, previously determined by the prediction unit in the steps of Figs. 8 and 9. At step 270, the prediction unit determines whether the target vehicle has traveled past the violation line for the lane in which it is travelling. If so, then step 270 is followed by step 272, in which the prediction unit marks the target vehicle as a predicted violator. at step 274, the prediction unit determines Otherwise, whether there is another vehicle between the target vehicle and the relevant stop line, which the violation unit has prior to entering the monitored stop predicted will intersection. If so, then step 274 is followed by step 276, in which the prediction unit marks the target vehicle as a non-violator.

At step 278, the prediction unit determines whether the Such a determination may, target vehicle is speeding up. for example be performed by checking if the acceleration value associated with the target vehicle is positive or negative, where a positive value indicates that the target vehicle is speeding up. If the target vehicle is determined 20 to be speeding up, step 278 is followed by step 282, which the prediction unit computes the travel time for the target vehicle to reach the violation line of the lane in it is travelling, based on current speed acceleration values for the target vehicle determined in the steps of Fig. 9. Next, at step 284, the prediction unit computes an amount of deceleration that would be necessary for the target vehicle to come to a stop within the travel time calculated at step 282. The prediction unit then determines at step 286 whether the necessary deceleration 30 determined at step 284 would be larger than a typical driver

10

15

would find comfortable, and accordingly is unlikely to generate by application of the brakes. The comfortable of deceleration may, for example, indicate deceleration limit for a typical vehicle during a panic stop, or some other deceleration value above which drivers are not expected to stop. If the necessary deceleration for the target vehicle to stop is determined to be excessive at step 286, then step 286 is followed by step 288, in which the target vehicle is marked as a predicted violator. Otherwise, step 286 is followed by step 280.

At step 280, the prediction unit computes the time required for the target vehicle to stop, given its current speed and rate of deceleration. At step 290, the prediction unit computes the distance the target vehicle will travel before stopping, based its on current speed and deceleration. 296, Next, at step the prediction determines whether the distance the target vehicle will travel before stopping, calculated at step 290, is greater than the distance remaining between the target vehicle and the violation line for the lane in which the vehicle is If so, step 296 is followed by step 294. travelling. Αt step 294, the prediction unit determines whether the target vehicle's current speed is so slow that the target vehicle is merely inching forward. Such a determination may be made comparing the target vehicle's current speed with a predetermined minimum speed. In this way, the disclosed system filters out violation predictions associated with target vehicles that are determined to be merely "creeping" across the stop and/or violation line. Such filtering is desirable to reduce the total number of false violation predictions. If the vehicle's current speed is greater than

5

10

15

20

25

such a predetermined minimum speed, then step 294 is followed by step 292, in which the prediction unit marks the target vehicle as a predicted violator. Otherwise, step 294 is followed by step 300, in which the prediction unit marks the target vehicle as a non-violator. Step 300 is followed by step 304, in which the prediction unit updates the prediction history for the target vehicle, and then by step 306, in which control is passed to the flow of Fig. 9.

At step 298, the prediction unit predicts that the vehicle will stop prior to the violation line for the lane in which it is travelling. The prediction unit then updates information associated with the lane in which the target vehicle is travelling to indicate that a vehicle in that lane has been predicted to stop prior to the violation line. Step 298 is followed by step 302, in which the prediction unit marks the target vehicle as a non-violator.

Fig. 12 shows steps performed by the prediction unit to process target vehicle information during a current yellow light phase, corresponding to step 218 as shown in Fig. 9. The steps of Fig. 12 are responsive to input information 310 for the target vehicle, including position information from the tracker, as well as speed, acceleration, line distances, and time remaining in yellow determined by the prediction unit in the steps of Figs. 8 and 9. At step 312, the prediction unit determines whether there is less than a predetermined minimum time period, for example one second, remaining in the current yellow light phase. If not, 312 is followed by step 314, in which control is passed back to the flow shown in Fig. 9, and then to the steps of Fig. 6. Otherwise, at step 316, the prediction unit determines whether the target vehicle has traveled past the stop line

10

15

20

25

for the lane in which it is travelling. If so, then the target vehicle has entered the intersection during a yellow light phase, and at step 318 the prediction unit marks the target vehicle as a non-violator. If the target vehicle has not passed the stop line, then at step 322 the prediction unit determines whether another vehicle is in front of the target vehicle, between the target vehicle and the stop line, and which has been predicted to stop before the yellow In an illustrative embodiment, light phase expires. which vehicles within a given lane are processed in order from the closest to the stop line to the furthest away from the stop line, when a first vehicle is processed that is predicted to stop before reaching the intersection, then a flag associated with the lane may be set to indicate that all vehicles behind that vehicle will also have to stop. such an embodiment, such a "stopped vehicle" flag associated with the relevant lane may be checked at step 322. a stopped vehicle is determined to exist at step 322, step 322 is followed by step 320, and the prediction unit marks the target vehicle as a non-violator. Otherwise, step 322 is followed by step 324, in which the prediction unit computes a necessary deceleration for the target vehicle to stop before the current yellow light phase expires, at which time a red light phase will begin. Αt step 326, prediction unit computes a time required for the target vehicle to stop. The computation at step 326 is based on the current measured deceleration value if the vehicle is currently slowing down, or based on a calculated necessary deceleration if the vehicle is currently speeding up. step 328, the prediction unit computes the stopping distance

5

10

15

20

25

for the target vehicle, using the computed deceleration and time required to stop from steps 324 and 326.

At step 330, the prediction unit determines whether the stopping distance computed at 328 is less than the distance between the target vehicle and the violation line for the lane in which the target vehicle is travelling. If so, at step 332, the prediction unit determines that the vehicle a violation, updates will stop without and information for the lane in which the target vehicle is travelling to indicate that a vehicle has been predicted to stop before the intersection in that lane. Then, at step 334, the prediction unit marks the target vehicle as a non-Step 334 is followed by step 336, in which the prediction unit updates the prediction history for target vehicle, as described further in connection with the elements of Fig. 13.

If, at step 330, the prediction unit determines that the stopping distance required for the target vehicle to stop is not less than the distance between the target vehicle and the violation line for the lane in which the target vehicle is travelling, then step 330 is followed by At step 338, the prediction unit computes a step 338. travel time that is predicted to elapse before the target Next, at step 340, the vehicle will reach the stop line. prediction unit determines whether the predicted travel time computed at step 338 is less than the time remaining in the current yellow light phase. If so, then step 340 followed by step 342, in which the prediction unit marks the target vehicle as a non-violator. Step 342 is followed by If, on the other hand, at step 340 the prediction step 336. unit determines that the travel time determined at step 338

10

15

20

25

is not less than the time remaining in the current yellow light phase, then step 340 is followed by step 344.

In step 344 the prediction unit determines whether the deceleration necessary for the target vehicle to stop is greater than a specified deceleration value limit , thus indicating that the deceleration required is larger than the driver of the target vehicle will find comfortable to apply. at step 344 in Fig. 12 test is the same determination at step 286 of Fig. 11. If the necessary deceleration is greater than the specified limit, then step 344 is followed by step 346, in which the prediction unit marks the target vehicle as a predicted violator. Otherwise, step 344 is followed by step 348, in which the prediction unit determines whether the target vehicle's speed is below a predetermined speed, thus indicating that the target vehicle is merely inching forward. The test at step 348 is analogous to the determination of 294 as shown in Fig. 11. If the target vehicle's speed is less than the predetermined speed, then step 348 is followed by step 352, in which the prediction unit marks the target vehicle as a non-violator. Otherwise, step 348 is followed by step 350, in which the prediction unit marks the target vehicle as a predicted Step 350 is followed by step 336, which in turn violator. is followed by step 354, in which control is passed back to the flow shown in Fig. 9.

Fig. 13 shows steps performed by the prediction unit to update the prediction history of a target vehicle, as would be performed at step 304 of Fig. 11 and step 336 of Fig. 12. The steps of Fig. 13 are performed in response to input information 268, including target vehicle position information from the tracker, as well as line distances,

10

15

20

25

a current red light phase, expired within time remaining in a current yellow light phase, current violation prediction (violator or non-violator), and other previously determined violation prediction information determined by At step 362, the prediction unit the prediction unit. determines whether there is any existing prediction history If not, step 362 is followed by for the target vehicle. step 364, in which the prediction unit creates a prediction history data structure for the target vehicle, for example by allocating and/or initializing some amount of memory. If, at step 362, the Step 364 is followed by step 366. existing is an determines that there prediction unit prediction history for the current target vehicle, then step 362 is followed by step 366, in which the prediction unit computes the total distance traveled by the target vehicle over its entire prediction history. Step 366 is followed by step 368.

At step 368, the prediction unit determines whether the target vehicle has come to a stop, for example as indicated by the target vehicle's current position being the same as in a previous frame. A per target vehicle stopped vehicle flag may also be used by the prediction unit to determine if a permitted turn was performed with or without stopping. In the case where a permitted turn is performed during a red light phase and after a required stop, the prediction unit is capable of filtering out the event as a non-violation. If the vehicle is determined to have come to a stop, then the prediction unit further modifies information associated with the lane the target vehicle is travelling to indicate that fact. Step 368 is followed by step 370, in which the prediction unit determines if the target vehicle passed the

5

10

15

20

25

stop line for the lane in which it is travelling. Next, at step 372, the prediction unit determines whether the target vehicle has traveled a predetermined minimum distance over its entire prediction history. If the target vehicle has not traveled such a minimum since it was first identified by the tracker, then step 372 is followed by step 374, in which the prediction unit marks the target vehicle as a non-violator, potentially changing the violation prediction from the input information 360.

followed by step 378, in 10 374 is which prediction unit adds the violation prediction to the target vehicle's prediction history. If, at step 372. the prediction unit determined that the target vehicle traveled at least the predetermined minimum distance during the course of its prediction history, then step 372 15 followed by step 376, in which case the prediction unit passes the violation prediction from the input 360 to step 378 to be added to the violation prediction history of the target vehicle.

is followed by step 380, in which the prediction unit determines whether the information regarding the target vehicle indicates that the target vehicle may be turning right. The determination of step 380 may, example, be made based on the position of the target vehicle with respect to a right turn zone defined for the lane in which the vehicle is travelling. Step 380 is followed by 382, which the prediction unit updates the in the target vehicle, further prediction state for as described in connection with Fig. 14.

Following step 382, at step 384, the prediction unit determines whether the target vehicle passed the violation

5

20

25

line of the lane in which the target vehicle is travelling during the current video frame, for example by comparing the position of the vehicle in the current frame with the definition of the violation line for the lane. If so, then step 384 is followed by step 396, in which the prediction unit checks whether the target vehicle has been marked as a violator with respect to the current frame. If the target vehicle is determined to be a predicted violator at step 396, then at step 398 the prediction unit determines whether the grace period indicated by the configuration data had expired as of the time when the prediction unit received target vehicle information for the frame from the tracker. The determination of step 398 may be made, for example, in response to the time elapsed in red recorded at step 184 in Fig. 8, compared to a predetermined grace period value, for example provided in the configuration data 68 of Fig. 2. the grace period has expired, then step 398 is followed by step 400, in which the prediction unit sends the violation unit a message indicating that the predicted violation of the target vehicle has been confirmed. Step 400 is followed by step 394, in which control is returned to either the flow of Fig. 11 or Fig. 12.

If, at step 384, the prediction unit determined that the target vehicle had not passed the violation line for its lane during the current video frame, then step 384 is followed by step 386. At step 386, the prediction unit determines whether the target vehicle passed the stop line in the current video frame. If so, then step 386 is followed by step 402, and the prediction unit records the time which has elapsed during the current red light phase and the speed at which the target vehicle crossed the stop

10

15

20

25

line. Step 402 is followed by step 406 in which the prediction unit determines whether the target vehicle was previously marked as a predicted violator. If the target vehicle was previously marked as a predicted violator, then step 406 is followed by step 408, in which the prediction unit sends a message indicating that the target vehicle has passed the stop line to the violation unit. Otherwise, step 406 is followed by step 390.

If, at step 386, the prediction unit determines that 10 the target vehicle has not passed the stop line in the current video frame, then step 386 is followed by step 388, in which the prediction unit determines whether the target vehicle has been marked as a predicted violator. then step 388 is followed by step 390. Otherwise, step 388 15 is followed by step 394, in which control is passed back to the steps of either Fig. 11 or Fig. 12. At step 390, the prediction unit determines whether the target vehicle is making a permitted right turn, as further described with reference to Fig. 16. If the prediction unit determines 20 that the vehicle is making a permitted right turn, then a wrong prediction message is sent by the prediction unit to Step 392 is followed by the violation unit at step 392. If, at step 398, the prediction unit determines step 394. that the grace period following the beginning of the red 25 light cycle had not expired at the time the current frame was captured, then at step 404 a wrong prediction message is sent to the violation unit. Step 404 is followed by step 394.

Fig. 14 shows steps performed by the prediction unit to update the prediction state of a target vehicle. The steps of Fig. 14 correspond to step 382 of Fig. 13. The steps of

performed responsive to input data Fig. 14 are 410. including the prediction history for a target vehicle, target vehicle position data, and current light At step 412, the prediction unit determines information. whether the target vehicle has passed the violation line during a previously processed video frame. If so, then step 412 is followed by step 440, in which control is passed back to the flow shown in Fig. 13. Otherwise, step 412 followed by step 414, in which the prediction determines whether the target vehicle has been marked as a 10 predicted violator and passed the relevant stop line during a current yellow light phase. If so, then step 414 followed by step 416, in which a message is sent to the violation unit indicating that a previously reported violation prediction for the target vehicle is wrong. 15 416 is followed by step 418, in which the prediction unit marks the target vehicle as a non-violator. If, at step 414, the target vehicle was determined either to be marked as a non-violator or had not passed the stop line during the 20 relevant yellow light phase, then step 414 is followed by step 420, in which the prediction unit determines whether the target vehicle has been marked as a violator. step 420 is followed by step 422, in which the prediction unit determines whether there are any entries 25 prediction history for the target vehicle which also predict a violation for the target vehicle. If so, step 422 followed by step 440. Otherwise, step 422 is followed by step 426, in which a wrong prediction message is sent to the violation unit. Step 426 is followed by step 430, in which the prediction unit marks the target vehicle as a non-30 violator.

If, at step 420, the prediction unit determined that the target vehicle has not been marked as a violator, then step 420 is followed by step 424, in which the prediction determines a percentage of the entries 5 prediction history for the target vehicle that predicted that the target vehicle will be a violator. Next, at step 428, the prediction unit determines whether the percentage calculated at step 424 is greater than a predetermined threshold percentage. The predetermined threshold 10 percentage varies with the number of prediction history entries for the target vehicle. Ιf the percentage calculated at step 424 is not greater than the threshold percentage, then step 428 is followed by step Otherwise, step 428 is followed by step 432, in which the 15 prediction unit computes a violation score for the target vehicle, reflecting the probability that the target vehicle will commit a red light violation. Step 432 is followed by step 434, in which the prediction unit determines whether the violation score computed at step 432 is greater than a 20 predetermined threshold score. If the violation score for the target vehicle is not greater than the target threshold, then step 434 is followed by step 440. Otherwise, step 434 is followed by step 436, in which the prediction unit marks the target vehicle as a violator. Step 436 is followed by 25 step 438, in which the prediction unit requests a signal preemption, causing the current light phase for a traffic light controlling traffic crossing the path of the predicted violator to remain red for some predetermined period, thus permitting the predicted violator to cross the intersection 30 without interfering with any vehicles travelling through the intersection in an intersecting lane. Various specific

techniques may be employed to delay a light transition, including hardware circuits, software functionality, and/or mechanical apparatus such as cogs. The present system may be employed in connection with any of the various techniques for delaying a light transition.

In a further illustrative embodiment, the disclosed system operates in response to how far into the red light phase the violation actually occurs or is predicted If the violation occurs past a specified point in occur. the red light phase, then no preemption will be requested. The specified point in the red light phase may be adjustable and/or programmable. An appropriate specified point in the red light phase beyond which preemptions should not be requested determined in response may be to statistics provided by the disclosed system regarding For example, statistics on violations may be violations. passed from the roadside station to the field office server.

Fig. 15 shows steps performed by the prediction unit in order to compute a violation score for a target vehicle, as would be performed during step 432 in Fig. 14. performed in Fig. 15 are responsive, at least in part, input data 442, including a prediction history for target vehicle, a signal phase and time elapsed value, and information, target for example target position information received from the tracker. At step 444, prediction unit calculates a violation score for the target vehicle as a sum of (1) the violation percentage calculated at step 424 of Fig. 14, (2) a history size equal to the number of recorded prediction history entries for the target vehicle, including a prediction history entry associated with the current frame, and (3) a target vehicle speed as

5

10

15

20

25

calculated in step 210 of Fig. 9. Next, at step 446, the prediction unit branches based on the current light phase. If the current light phase is yellow, step 446 is followed by step 448, in which the violation score calculated at step 444 is divided by the seconds remaining in the current yellow light phase. Step 448 is followed by step 464, in which control is returned to the steps shown in Fig. 13. If, on the other hand, at step 446 the current light phase is determined to be red, then step 446 is followed by step 450, in which the prediction unit determines whether the predetermined grace period following the beginning of the current red light phase has expired. If not, then step 450 is followed by step 452, in which the violation score computed at step 444 is divided by the number of seconds elapsed in the current red light phase, plus one. addition of one to the number of seconds elapsed avoids the problem of elapsed time periods less than one, which would otherwise improperly skew the score calculation in step 452. Step 452 is followed by step 460. If the predetermined grace period has expired, then step 450 is followed by step 454, in which the violation score calculated at step 444 is multiplied by the number of seconds that have elapsed in the current red light phase.

454 is followed by step 456, in which prediction unit determines whether the target vehicle has passed the violation line for the lane in which it travelling. If so, then step 456 is followed by step 464. if target vehicle has the not passed violation line for the lane in which it is travelling, then step 456 is followed by step 458, in which the violation score calculated at step 444 is divided by the distance

10

15

20

25

remaining to the violation line. Step 458 is followed by step 460, in which the prediction unit determines whether the target vehicle is outside the range of the prediction camera in which speed calculations are reliable. then step 460 is followed by step 464, in which control is passed back to the steps shown in Fig. 14. Otherwise, step 460 is followed by step 462, in which the violation score is In this way, the violation score is made to divided by two. reflect the relative inaccuracy of the speed calculations for target vehicles beyond a certain distance from the prediction camera. Step 462 is followed by step 464.

Fig. 16 shows steps performed by an embodiment of the prediction unit to determine whether a target vehicle is performing a permitted right turn, as would be performed at step 380 shown in Fig. 13. At step 470, the prediction unit checks whether the vehicle is in the rightmost lane, and past the stop line for that lane. If not, then step 470 is followed by step 484 in which control is passed back to the Otherwise, at step 472, the prediction flow of Fig. 13. unit determines whether the right side of the vehicle is lane in which edge of the the right outside If so, then at step 474, the prediction unit travelling. increments a right turn counter associated with the target Otherwise, at step 476, the prediction unit vehicle. decrements the associated right turn counter, but not below 25 this way the minimum lower threshold of zero. In disclosed system keeps track of whether the target vehicle travels into a right turn zone located beyond the stop line for the rightmost line, and to the right of the right edge of that lane. Step 476 and step 474 are both followed by 30 step 478.

5

10

15

At step 478, the prediction unit determines whether the right turn counter value for the target vehicle is above a predetermined threshold. The appropriate value of such a determined threshold may, for example, be empirically through trial and error, until the appropriate sensitivity is determined for a specific intersection topography. the counter is above the threshold, then the prediction unit marks the vehicle as turning right at step 480. the prediction unit marks the target vehicle as not turning right at step 482. Step 480 and step 482 are followed by step 484.

Fig. 17 shows steps performed by the violation unit to manage resource allocation during recording of a red light At step 500, the violation unit receives a message containing target vehicle information related to a highest violation prediction score from the prediction unit. At step 502, the violation unit determines which software agents need to be used to record the predicted violation. step 504, the violation unit generates a list resources needed by the software agents determined at step At step 506, the violation unit negotiates with any other violation units for the resources within the list generated at step 504. Multiple violation units may exist where multiple traffic flows are simultaneously monitored.

At step 508, the violation unit determines whether all of the resources within the list computed at step 504 are currently available. If not, step 508 is followed by step 510, in which the violation unit sends messages to all agents currently holding any resources to return those resources as soon as possible. Because the violation event

10

15

20

25

may be missed before any resources are returned, however, the violation unit skips recording the specific violation event. Otherwise, if all necessary resources are available at step 508, then at step 512 the violation unit sends the violation information needed by the software agents determined at step 502 to those software agents. Step 512 is followed by step 514 in which the violation unit sets timing mode variable 516, indicating that a violation is being recorded and the agents must now request resources in a timed mode.

Fig. 18 shows steps performed by the violation unit to process a resource request received from a software agent at step 540. At step 542, the violation unit determines whether a violation event is current being recorded by checking the state of the violation timing mode variable 516. timing mode variable is not set, and accordingly violation event is currently being recorded, then, step 542 followed by step 544. in which the violation unit determines whether the resource requested is currently in use by another violation unit, as may be the case where a violation event is being recorded for another traffic flow. step 544 is followed by step 550, in which the request received at step 540 is denied. Otherwise, step 544 followed by step 546, in which the violation unit determines whether the requested resource is currently in use by another software agent. If so, step 546 is similarly followed by step 550. Otherwise, step 546 is followed by step 548, in which the resource request received at step 540 is granted.

If, on the other hand, at step 542, the violation unit determines that the violation timing mode variable 516 is

10

15

20

set, then at step 552 the violation unit determines whether the violation currently being recorded has been aborted. not, then at step 554 the violation unit adds the request to a time-ordered request list associated with the requested resource, at a position within the request list indicated by the time at which the requested resource is needed. at which the requested resource is needed by the requesting agent may, for example, be indicated within the resource request itself. Then, at step 556, the violation unit determines whether all software agents necessary to record the current violation event have made their resource requests. If not, at step 558, the violation unit waits for next resource request. Otherwise, at step 568, violation unit checks the time-ordered list of resource requests for conflicts between the times between the times at which the requesting agents have requested each resource. At step 574, the violation unit determines whether there any timing conflicts were identified at step 568. If not, then the violation unit grants the first timed request to the associated software agent at step 576, thus recording of the violation event. Otherwise, the violation unit denies any conflicting resource requests at step 580. Further at step 580, the violation unit may continue to record the predicted violation, albeit without one or more of the conflicting resource requests. Alternatively, the violation unit may simply not record the predicted violation at all.

If the violation unit determines at step 552 that recording of the current violation has been aborted, then at step 560 the violation unit denies the resource request received at step 540, and at step 562 denies any other

5

10

15

20

25

resource requests on the current ordered resource request list. Then, at step 564, the violation unit determines whether all software agents associated with the current violation have made their resource requests. If not, the violation unit waits at step 566 for the next resource request. Otherwise, the violation unit resets the violation timing mode variable at step 570, and sends an abort message to all active software agents at step 572. Then, at step 578, the violation unit waits for a next resource request, for example indicating there is another violation event to record.

Fig. 19 shows steps performed by the violation unit to process a resource that has been returned by a software step 518. At step 520, the violation unit determines whether the violation timing mode variable 516 is 15 If not, then there is currently no violation event being recorded, and step 520 is followed by step 522, which the violation unit simply waits for a next resource to be returned. Otherwise, if the violation timing mode 20 variable is set, step 520 is followed by step 524 in which the violation unit removes the resource from an ordered list of resources, thus locking the resource from any other After step 524, at step 526, the violation unit determines whether recording of the current violation has 25 If so, at step 528, the violation unit simply been aborted. unlocks the resource and waits for a next resource to be returned by one of the software agents, since the resource is not needed to record a violation event. Otherwise, at step 530, the violation unit allocates the returned resource 30 to any next software agent on a time ordered request list associated with the returned resource, thus unlocking the

resource for use by that requesting agent. Then, at step 532, the violation unit waits for a next returned resource.

Fig. 20 illustrates steps performed by the violation unit in response to receipt of an abort message 660 from the prediction unit. Such a message may be sent by prediction unit upon determining that a previously predicted violation did not occur. At step 662, the violation unit files for the violation being aborted for later deletion. Then, at step 664, the violation unit determines whether it is still waiting for any software agents to request resources necessary to record the current violation. then at step 666, the violation unit informs a violation unit resource manager function that recording of the current violation has been aborted. Αt message processing completes. If, on the other hand, the violation unit is not still waiting for any software agents to record the request resources necessary violation, then at step 670 the violation unit sends an "abort" message to all currently active software agents. Message processing then completes at step 672.

Fig. 21 shows steps performed by a violation unit in response to a message 634 received from the prediction unit. The steps shown in Fig. 20 are performed in response to receipt by the violation unit of a message from the prediction unit other than an abort message, the processing of which is described in connection with Fig. 20. At step 636, the violation unit determines whether the violation associated with the message received at 634 is the violation that is currently being recorded. If not, then at step 638 the processing of the message completes. Otherwise, at step 640, the violation unit sends a message to all currently

5

10

15

20

25

active software agents, reflecting the contents of the received message. At step 642 message processing is completed.

Fig. 22 illustrates steps performed by the violation 5 in response to receipt of a "violation complete" message from a software agent at step 620. Such a violation complete message indicates that the agent has completed its responsibilities with respect to a violation event currently being recorded. At step 622, the violation unit determines 10 whether all software agents necessary to record violation event have sent violation complete messages to the violation unit. If not, then the violation unit waits for a next violation complete message at step 624. If so, then at step 626 the violation unit closes the recorder files which 15 store the video clips for the violation that has just been recorded. Αt step 628, the violation unit determines whether the current light phase is green and, continues processing at step 610, as shown in Fig. the current light phase is not green, then at step 630 the 20 violation unit opens new recorder files in which to record video clips for a new violation. Reopening the recorder files at step 630 prepares the violation unit to record any subsequent violations during the current red light phase. Then, at step 632, the violation unit waits for a next 25 message to be received.

Fig. 23 shows steps performed by the violation unit in response to receipt of a violation-delete message 644 from the prediction unit. Such a message may be sent by the prediction unit upon a determination that a previous violation did not occur. At step 646 the violation unit determines whether the violation-delete message is related

to the violation currently being recorded. If not, then message processing completes at step 648. Otherwise, the violation unit marks any current violation files for later deletion. Then, at step 652, the message processing completes.

Fig. 24 illustrates steps performed by the violation unit to finish violation processing related to a current red light phase. At step 610 the violation unit begins cleaning up after recording one or more violation events. the violation unit closes all recorder files. At steps 682-690, the violation unit checks the state of each violation within the recorder files. At step 688, the violation unit determines whether any violations have been marked deleted. If so, then at step 690, the violation unit deletes all files associated with the deleted violation. Otherwise, at step 692, the violation unit sends the names of the files to be sent to the server system to a delivery service which will subsequently send those files to remote server system. When all violations have as detected at step 684, processing of checked, violations is finished at step 686.

Fig. 25 shows steps performed during polling activity performed by the violation unit in response to a time out signal 590, in order to update the traffic light state in one or more software agents. Indication of a current light phase may, for example, be determined in response to one or more signals originating in the traffic control box 86 as shown in Fig. 5. The steps shown in Fig. 25 are, for example, performed periodically by the violation unit. At step 592, the violation unit reads the current traffic signal state including light phase. At step 594, the

5

10

15

20

25

violation unit determines whether the traffic light state read at step 592 is different from a previously read traffic light state. If so, then at step 596 the violation unit sends the updated light signal information to each currently active software agent. Step 596 is followed by step 598. If at step 594 the violation unit determines that the traffic light state has not changed, then step 594 is followed by step 598.

At step 598, the violation unit determines whether the current light phase of the traffic signal is green. then after step 598 the polling activity is complete at step Otherwise, step 598 is followed by step 602, in which the violation unit determines whether there is a violation currently being recorded, for example, by checking the status of the violation timing mode variable. If not, then at step 604 the violation unit polling activity terminates. Otherwise, step 602 is followed by step 606, in which the violation unit determines whether all software agents have finished processing. If not, then the polling activity of the violation unit complete at step 608. If all current software agents are finished, then step 606 continues with step 610, as described further below in connection with Fig. 24.

Fig. 26 shows an illustrative format for a recorder file 1 700 and a recorder file 2 702. The recorder file 1 700 is shown including a header portion 703, including such information as the number of seconds recorded in recorder file 1 700, the number of video frames contained in recorder file 1 700, the coder-decoder ("codec") used to encode the video frames stored in recorder file 1 700, and other information. In an illustrative embodiment, the recorder

5

10

15

files shown in Fig. 26 are standard MJPEG files, conforming with the Microsoft "AVI" standard, and thus referred to as The recorder file 1 700 is further shown "AVI" files. including a signal view clip 704 containing video frames of signal view associated with the violation event, a front view clip 705 containing video frames showing the front view associated with the violation event, and a rear view clip 706 containing video frames showing the rear view associated The recorder file 2 702 is shown with the violation event. including a context view clip 708 containing video frames of the context view recorded in association with the violation In the illustrative embodiment shown in Fig. 26, the signal view clip 704, front view clip 705 and rear view clip 706 are recorded by one or more violation cameras. video frames within the context view clip 708 are recorded During operation of the disclosed by a prediction camera. system, the recorder files shown in Fig. 26 are provided to a server system within a field office, together with other information related to a recorded violation event. information, indexer include may information other describing the beginning and end times of each of the video clips within a recorder file. In order to provide security with regard to any information sent from the roadside station identifiers, unique frame the remote server system, timestamps, and/or secure transmission protocols including 25 encryption may be employed.

shows an example format of data structures 27 operated on by vehicles, and to target related A first linked list 750 includes elements prediction unit. storing information for target vehicles within The linked list 750 is shown including an monitored lane.

5

10

15

20

element 750a associated with target vehicle A, an element 750b associated with a target vehicle B, an element 750c associated with a target vehicle C, and so on for all target vehicles within a first monitored lane. The elements in the linked list 750 are stored in the order that information regarding target vehicles is received by the prediction unit from the tracker. Accordingly, the order of elements within the linked list 750 may or may not reflect the order of associated target vehicles within the monitored lane. an order of vehicles may accordingly be determined from location information for each target vehicle received from Further in Fig. 27, a second linked list 752 the tracker. is shown including elements associated with target vehicles within a second monitored lane, specifically elements 752a, 752b, and 752c, associated respectively a target vehicle A, target vehicle B, and a target vehicle C. While Fig. 27 shows an embodiment in which 2 lanes are monitored at one time by the prediction unit, the disclosed system may be lanes of numbers monitor various to configured simultaneously, as appropriate for the specific intersection 20 being monitored.

Fig. 28 shows an example format for a target vehicle prediction history data structure, for example corresponding to the elements of the linked lists shown in Fig. 27. first field 761 of the structure 760 contains a pointer to the respective linked within next element Definitions of the other fields are as follows:

Target Identifier field 762: This field is used by the prediction unit to store a target identifier received from the tracker.

5

10

15

25

Camera field 763: This field is used by the prediction unit to store an identifier indicating the image capturing device with which a current video frame was obtained.

Lane field 764: This field is used by the prediction unit to indicate which of potentially several monitored lanes the associated target vehicle is located within.

Past Predictions field 765: This field contains an array of violation predictions (violator/nonviolator) associated with previous video frames and the current video frame.

Past Stop Line on Yellow field 766: This field is used by the prediction unit to store an indication of whether the associated target vehicle traveled past the stop line for the lane in which it is travelling during a yellow light phase of the associated traffic signal.

Prediction State field 767: This field is used to store a current violation prediction state (violator/non-violator) for the associated target vehicle.

Frames Since Seen field 768: This field is used to store the number of frames that have been processed since the associated target vehicle was last seen by the tracker.

Seen this Frame field 769; This field stores indication of whether the associated target vehicle was seen by the tracker during the current video frame.

Past Stop Line field 770: This field is used to store indication of whether the target vehicle has traveled past the stop line for the lane in which it is travelling.

Past Violation Line field 771: This field is used to store an indication of whether the associated target vehicle has traveled past the violation line for the lane in which it is travelling.

10

15

20

Came to Stop field 772: This field is used by the prediction unit to store an indication of whether the target vehicle has ever come to a stop. For example, a vehicle may stop and start again, and that stop would be indicated by the value of this field.

Right Turn Count 773: This field contains a count indicating the likelihood that the associated target vehicle is making a permitted turn. While this field is shown for purposes of illustration as a right turn count, it could alternatively be used to keep a score related to any other type of permitted turn.

Told Violation Unit 774: This field indicates whether a predicted violation by the target vehicle has been reported to the violation unit.

Requested Preemption 775: This field indicates whether the prediction unit has requested a signal preemption due to this vehicle's predicted violation. A signal preemption prevents the traffic light from turning green for vehicles which would cross the path of this violator.

Score 776: The value of this field indicates a current violation prediction score for the associated target vehicle, indicating the likelihood that the target vehicle will commit a red light violation.

Highest Score 777: The value of this field indicates the highest violation prediction score recorded during the history of the associated target vehicle.

Time Elapsed in Red at Stop Line 778: The value of this field contains an amount of time elapsed during the red light phase when the associated target vehicle passed the stop line for the lane in which it was travelling.

10

20

25

Distance to Violation Line 779: This field contains a value indicating a distance that the associated target vehicle has to travel before it reaches the violation line associated with the lane in which it is travelling.

Distance Traveled 780: This field contains the distance that the associated target vehicle has traveled since it was first identified by the tracker.

Velocity at Stop Line 781: This field contains the speed at which the associated target vehicle was travelling when it crossed the stop line for the lane in which it is travelling.

Current Velocity 782: This field contains a current speed at which the associated target vehicle is travelling.

Current Acceleration 783: The value of this field is the current acceleration for the target vehicle.

Distance to stop line 784: This field stores the distance between the current position of the associated target vehicle and the stop line for the lane in which it is travelling.

20 First Position 785: The value of this field indicates the first position at which the associated target vehicle was identified by the tracker.

Last Position 786: The value of this field indicates a last position at which the associated target vehicle was identified by the tracker.

Fig. 29 shows an illustrative format for global data used in connection with the operation of the prediction unit. The global data 800 of Fig. 29 is shown including the following fields:

30 Stop Lines for Each Lane 801: This is a list of stop line positions associated with respective monitored lanes.

5

10

Violation Lines for Each Lane 802: This is a list of violation line locations for each respective lane being monitored.

Light Phase for Each Lane 803: This field includes a list of light phases that are current for each lane being monitored.

First Red Frame for Each Lane 804: This field indicates whether the current frame is the first frame within the red light phase for each lane.

Time Left in Yellow for Each Lane 805: This field contains a duration remaining in a current yellow light phase for each monitored lane.

Time Elapsed in Red for Each Lane 806: The value of this field is the time elapsed since the beginning of a red light phase in each of the monitored lanes.

Grace Period 807: The value of this field indicates a time period after an initial transition to a red light phase during which red light violations are not citationable events.

Minimum Violation Score 808: The value of this field indicates a minimum violation prediction score. Violation prediction scores which are not greater than such a minimum violation score will not result in reported violation events.

Minimum Violation Speed 809: The value of this field is a minimum speed above which violations of red lights will be enforced.

Vehicle in Lane has Stopped 810: This field contains a list of indications of whether any vehicle within each one of the monitored lanes has stopped, or will stop.

15

25

Fig. 30 shows an ordered list of resources 710 as would be generated by the violation unit at step 524 in Fig. 19. The ordered list of resources 710 is shown including a number of resources 710a, 710b, 710c, 710d, etc. of the resources within the ordered list of resources 710, there is shown an associated request list 712. Accordingly, resource 1 710a is associated with a request list 712a, the resource 2, 710b is associated with the request list 712b, Each request list is a time ordered list of and so on. requests from software agents that are scheduled to use the associated resource to record a current violation event. during the recording of the associated violation event, Resource 1 is first used by Agent 1. When Agent 1 Resource 1, the violation unit will returns Resource 1 to Agent 2. Similarly, when Agent 2 returns Resource 1, the violation unit allocates Resource 1 to Agent 3.

Further in the request lists 712, each of the listed agents is associated with a start time and end time indicated by the agent as defining the time period during which the agent will need the associated resource. However, since there is no guarantee that an agent will return an allocated resource before the end of its estimated time period of reservation, a resource may be returned too late for the next agent within the request list to use it. In such a case, the violation event may not be completely recorded. Alternatively, the violation unit may allocate the returned resource to the next requesting agent, allowing the violation event to be at least partially recorded.

Fig. 31 is a flow chart showing steps preformed in an illustrative embodiment of the disclosed system for

10

15

20

25

generating traffic violation citations. At step 720 of Figure 31, violation image data is recorded, for example by one or more image capturing devices, such as video cameras. The violation image data recorded at step 720 may, for example, include one or more of the recorder files illustrated in Figure 26. The output of step 720 is shown for purposes of illustration as recorder files 722.

At step 724, violation image data is sent to a field office for further processing. In an illustrative 10 embodiment, the violation image data is sent from a road side station located proximate to the intersection being monitored, and to a field police office at which is located a server system including digital data storage devices for storing the received violation image data. Next, at step 726, an authorized user of the server system in the field 15 office logs on in order to evaluate the images stored within the recorder files 722. The server system that authorized user logs onto corresponds for example to the server 112 shown in Fig. 5. In an illustrative embodiment, 20 the log on procedure performed at step 726 includes the authorized user providing a user name and password. procedure is desirable in order to protect the privacy of those persons who have been recorded on violation image data from the roadside station.

At step 728, the user who logged on at step 726 reviews the violation image data and determines whether the recorded event is an offense for which a citation should be generated. Such a determination may be performed by viewing various perspectives provided by video clips contained within the recorder files 722. Further during step 728, the authorized user selects particular images from the violation

image data, which will be included in any eventually generated citation. If the authorized user determines that the violation image data shows a citationable offense, then the authorized user provides such indication to the system. At step 730, the system determines whether the authorized user has indicated that the violation data is associated with a citationable offense. If not, then step 730 is followed by step 732, in which the disclosed system purges violation image data. Such purging is desirable to protect 10 privacy of individuals recorded operating vehicles involved in non-violation events. On the other hand, if the authorized user indicated that the violation image data shows an event including a citationable offense, then step 730 is followed by step 734, in which the disclosed system 15 generates a citation including the selected images at step The citation generated at step 734, further includes information provided by the reviewing authorized user. additional information may be obtained during the review of the violation information data at step 728, through an 20 interface to a vehicle database. Such a vehicle database may be used to provide information regarding owners and or operators of vehicles identified in the violation image Such identification may, for example, be based upon license plate numbers or other identifying characteristics 25 of the vehicles shown in the violation image data. Further, reviewing authorized user may indicate additional information relating to the violation event and to be included in the generated citation, as is further described with regard to the elements shown in Figs. 32 and 33.

Fig. 32 shows an illustrative embodiment of a user interface which enables an authorized user to compose and

generate a citation in response to violation image data. The interface screen 800 shown in Fig. 32, includes a first display window 802 labeled for purposes of example as the "approaching view", as well as a second viewing window 804, labeled as the "receding view". A capture stop line button 806 is provided for the user to select an image currently being displayed within the first viewing window 802, which is to be stored as a stop line image in association with the recorded violation event, and displayed in the stop line image window 810. Similarly, a capture intersection button 808 is provided to enable the user to capture an image currently displayed within the second viewing window 84, to be stored as an "intersection" image association with the recorded violation event, and displayed within the intersection image window 812. The buttons 806 and 808 further may be adjusted or modified during operation to enable the user to select an image displayed within either the first viewing window or the second viewing window, which is to be stored as a license plate image in association with the violation event, and displayed within the license plate image 814. Similarly, the buttons 806 and 808 further may be adjusted or modified during operation to enable the user to select an image displayed within either the first viewing window or the second viewing window, which is to be stored as a front or rear view image in association with the violation event, and displayed within the front or rear view image window 816. The recorder files provided by the disclosed system provide both front and rear view violation clips, and the user may select from those views the best image of the violating vehicle's license plate. this way, the images 810, 812, 814, and 816 make up a set of

10

15

20

25

images related to the violation event which may later be included in any resulting citation.

The interface window 800 of Fig. 32 is further shown including a violation information window 818 permitting the user to enter information regarding the violation event such as the vehicle registration number of the violating vehicle, the vehicle state of the violating vehicle, and any other information or comments are relevant to the violation event. Further, the violation information window 818 is shown displaying an automatically generated citation identifier. A details window 820 is provided to enable the display of other information related to the violation image data. example, the information reported in the details window 820 maybe obtained from one or more files stored in association with a number of recorder files relating to a recorded violation event, and provided by the roadside station. information may include the date and time of the violation event and/or video clips, the speed at which the violating vehicle was travelling, the time elapsed after the traffic light transitioned into a red light phase that the violating vehicle passed through the intersection, and the direction in which the vehicle was travelling.

A set of control buttons 822 are provided to enable the user to conveniently and efficiently review the violation image data being displayed within the first and second windows 802 and 804. For example, the control buttons 822 are shown including "VCR" like controls, including a forward button, a pause button, a next frame or clip button, a proceeding clip button, all of which may be used to manipulate the violation image data shown in the view windows. The system further provides zooming and extracting

10

15

20

25

capabilities with regard to images displayed in the view windows. The violation image data displayed within the two view windows may or may not be synchronized such that the shown in the two windows were events recorded simultaneously. Accordingly, the two view windows may be operated together and show events having been recorded at While two view windows are shown in the the same time. illustrative embodiment of Fig. 32, the disclosed system may operate using one or more view windows, in which displayed violation image data may or may not be synchronous.

A row of buttons 823 is provided in the interface 800 shown in Fig. 32, some of which may be used to initiate access to external databases, or to initiate the storage of relevant data for later conveyance to offices in which external databases are located. For example, the buttons 822 may include a button associated with a vehicle database maintained by the department of motor vehicles When this button is asserted, a window interfacing to the remote vehicle database may be brought up on the users Alternatively, information entered by the user into system. the user interface 800, such as a license plate number, may automatically be forwarded in the form of a search query to the remote database. In another embodiment, information identifying a number of violating vehicles is recorded onto floppy disk or other removable storage medium. removable storage medium may then be extracted and sent to the remote office in which the vehicle database is located, part of a request for information relating to vehicle identified on the removable storage medium. The information returned from the remote vehicle database

5

10

15

20

25

regarding the registered owners of the identified vehicles may then be entered into the server system located in the field office. The buttons 823 may further include a court schedule function that enables a user to select from a set of available court dates. The available court dates may have been previously entered into the system manually, or may be periodically updated automatically from a master court date schedule.

Fig. 33 shows an example of a citation 900 generated by The citation 900 is shown including a the disclosed system. 10 citation number field 902 both at the top of the citation, as well as within the lower portion of the citation which is The citation 900 is further shown including to be returned. an address field 904 containing the address of the violator. Information to be stored in the address field 904 may be 15 obtained by the disclosed system, for example, from a remote in response to vehicle identification database, vehicle information extracted by a user from the violation image Further in the citation 900 is shown a citation data. information field 906 including the mailing date of the 20 citation, the payment due date, and the amount due. vehicle information field 910 is shown including a vehicle tag field, as well as state, type, year, make and expiration date fields related to the registration of the violating The disclosed system further provides an image of 25 the violating vehicle license plate 912 within the violating vehicle information 910. A violation information field 914 is further provided including a location of offense field, issuing officer field, date-time of offense field, Some or all of after red field, and vehicle speed field. 30 the violation information 914 may advantageously be provided

from the disclosed roadside station in association with the recorder file or files storing the image 916 of the front of the violating vehicle.

Two selected images 918 and 920 are shown within the The image 918, for example, is a selected citation 900. image of the violating vehicle within the intersection after the beginning of the red light phase, and showing the red The image 920 is, for example, a selected image of the violating vehicle immediately prior to when it entered the intersection, also showing the red light. Any number of selected images from the violation image data may provided as needed in various embodiments of the disclosed Examples of image information which may desirably be shown in such images include the signal phase at the time the violating vehicle entered the intersection, the signal phase as the vehicle passed through the intersection, the operator of the vehicle, the vehicle's license plates, and/or images showing the circumstances surrounding violation event. Other fields in the citation 900 include a destination address field 924, which is for example the address of the police department or town, and a second address field 922, also for storing the address of the alleged violator.

Fig. 34 illustrates an embodiment of the disclosed 25 including а roadside station 1014 situated system proximately to a monitored intersection 1012 and coupled to a server 1018 within a field office 1019. The server system 1018 is further shown communicably coupled with a vehicle database 10120, a court schedule database 10121, and a court house display device 1022. The interfaces between the 30 server system 1018, the vehicle database 10120, the court

10

15

house display device 1022 may be provided over local area network (LAN) connections such as an Ethernet, or over an appropriately secure wide area network (WAN) or the The databases 1020, 1021, and 1022 may, Internet. for example, be implemented using а conventional database An illustrative conventional database design is one design. based on a system query language (SQL), such as Microsoft's SQL Version 7. In such a fully connected configuration, information relating to a violation event, for example as entered by a user of the interface 800 shown in Fig. 32, may be directly communicated in requests to the vehicle database 1020 and court schedule database 1021. Further, information relating to a violation event, for example including any video clips, may be communicated to a court house display device for display during a hearing regarding the violation event.

Since many existing DMV databases and/or court date scheduling databases cannot be remotely accessed, present system may be used in other configurations to handle such limitations. For example, where the court scheduling database is not remotely accessible, and in a case where a citation issued using the present system has not been paid within a predetermined time period, a police office will generate a summons including a court date to be sent to the violator. In order to obtain a court date, the officer may, for example, call the court house to request a number of hearing times. The officer then uses one of the hearing times thus obtained for the hearing described in the On the date of the hearing, the officer may summons. download information from the field office server, to the violation event, onto a portable storage device or

10

15

20

25

personal computer, such as a laptop. This information may include recorder files and related information provided from the roadside station, as well as the citation itself. Upon arriving at the court house for the hearing, the officer can then display the video clips within the recorder files on the portable computer, or on any computer display to which the portable computer or storage device may be interfaced at the court house. Such a display of the violation image data at the court house may be used to prove the violation, and accordingly counter any ill-founded defenses put forth by the violator.

While the illustrative embodiments have been described in connection with automobile traffic intersections, disclosed system may generally be applied to intersections and traffic control in general. The disclosed system is further applicable to intersections in general, and not limited to Specifically, monitoring of automobile intersections. disclosed system provides the capability to similarly monitor and record events occurring at railroad crossings, border check points, toll booths, pedestrian crossings and parking Moreover, the disclosed system may be employed to perform traffic signal control in general and to detect speed limit violations.

In an illustrative embodiment for a railroad gate crossing, sensors would be provided to detect when the flashing lights indicating that a train is approaching began to flash, and when the gates preventing traffic across the tracks begin to close. The time period between when the flashing lights begin to flash and when the gates begin to close would be treated as a yellow light phase, while the time at which the gates begin to close would mark the beginning of

10

15

20

25

a time period treated as a red light phase. If the system predicts that an approaching car will cross onto or remain on the railroad tracks after the gates begin to close, that car would be considered a predicted violator. When a predicted violator was detected, the system would attempt to warn the oncoming train. Such a warning could be provided by 1) sending a signal to an operations center, which would then trigger a stop signal for the train, 2) sending a signal to a warning indicator within the train itself, for example by radio transmission, or 3) operating through a direct interface with a controller for the train track signal lights.

Those skilled in the art should readily appreciate that the programs defining the functions of the present invention can be delivered to a computer in many forms; including, but not limited to: (a) information permanently stored on nonwritable storage media (e.g. read only memory devices within a computer such as ROM or CD-ROM disks readable by computer I/O attachment); (b) information alterably stored on writable storage media (e.g. floppy disks and hard drives); or (c) information conveyed to a computer through communication media for example using baseband signaling or broadband signaling techniques, including carrier signaling techniques, such as over computer or telephone networks via a modem. In addition, while the invention may be embodied in computer software, the functions necessary to implement the invention may alternatively be embodied whole using hardware components part or in such as Application Specific Integrated Circuits or other hardware, or some combination of hardware components and software.

While the invention is described through the above exemplary embodiments, it will be understood by those of

10

15

20

25

ordinary skill in the art that modification to and variation of the illustrated embodiments may be made without departing from the inventive concepts herein disclosed. Therefore, while the preferred embodiments are described in connection with various illustrative data structures, one skilled in the art will recognize that the system may be embodied using a variety of specific data structures. In addition, while the preferred embodiments are disclosed with reference to any appropriate device the use of video cameras, images over time, capturing multiple such as a digital Thus the present system may be camera, may be employed. image capture and storage. employed with any form of Further, while the illustrative embodiments are disclosed as using license plate numbers to identify violators, any other identification means may alternatively be employed, such as 1) transponders which automatically respond to a received signal with a vehicle identifier, 2) operator images, or 3) any other identifying attribute associated with a violator. Accordingly, the invention should not be viewed as limited except by the scope and spirit of the appended claims.

5

10

15